



## **Public Information Session Summary**

### **Overview**

On November 29, 2009, Port Metro Vancouver (PMV) hosted a Public Information Session at the Save on Foods in Delta from 11:00 am to 3:00 pm. Three members of the Deltaport Community Liaison Committee attended the information session and two project resource staff were available to speak with the public and collect input on DP3. In total, staff and committee members spoke with 115 individuals.

Passers-by were asked to fill out questionnaires regarding the Deltaport Third Berth Project. Additionally, passers-by were asked verbal questions regarding the Deltaport Third Berth Project and their comments were recorded.

Feedback from both sources is detailed below.

### **Questionnaire**

A questionnaire with five questions was handed out to 8 kiosk attendees. The questions and responses were as follows:

Question 1: Are you aware that the DP3 Project is currently under construction?

- **7** (88%) individuals answered **yes**.
- **1** (12%) individual answered **no**.

Question 2: Has the DP3 project impacted you?

- **1** (12.5%) individuals answered **yes**.
- **6** (75%) individuals answered **no**.
- **1** (12.5%) individual had no answer.

Question 3: Do you have any concerns regarding the DP3 Project?

*Participants were able to choose one or more of the following areas: Environment; Air Quality; Traffic Congestion; Truck Traffic; Rail Traffic; Noise; Lighting; Other, please specify.*

- **5** (63%) individuals selected truck traffic
- **3** (38%) individuals selected traffic congestion
- **3** (38%) individuals selected rail traffic
- **3** (38%) individuals selected environment
- **2** (25%) individuals selected noise
- **1** (13%) individual selected air quality
- **1** (13%) individual selected light

- **1** (13%) individual selected other: tunnel traffic/ trucks by passing tunnel traffic via HOV lanes
- **1** (13%) individual selected other: train/tug emissions
- **1** (13%) individual had no answer

Question 4: Are you aware of any of the initiatives that are underway to address the impacts of the project?

*Participants were able to choose one or more of: Habitat Compensation; Adaptive Management Strategy (AMS); Scientific Advisory Committee (SAC); Highway 17 Improvements; Air Quality; Monitoring Station; Marine Mammal Monitoring Program; Bird Monitoring Program; Construction Environmental Monitors; Deltaport Community Liaison Committee (DCLC).*

- **2** (25%) individuals selected DCLC
- **1** (13%) individual selected habitat compensation
- **1** (13%) individual selected AMS
- **1** (13%) individual selected SAC
- **1** (13%) individual selected marine mammal monitoring program
- **1** (13%) individual selected bird monitoring program
- **1** (13%) individual selected no
- **5** (63%) individuals had no answers

Question 5: The question provided the opportunity for attendees to provide additional comments.

- **1** (13%) individual indicated that they were against the project all together
- **1** (13%) individual suggested the Port needed a west coast shipping strategy with a greater use of Prince Rupert
- **1** (13%) individual suggested the Port needed more/better use of barges to move containers through lower mainland/Washington
- **7** (88%) individuals had no answers

### **Verbal Feedback**

The following comments were collected from 41 members of public who did not want to complete a survey.

*The questions posted to members of the public were: Are you aware of the project and do you have any concerns regarding the project?*

- Aware of the project – think the project is worth while
- Aware of the project – positive
- Aware of the project – concern over ownership of Port and TSI
- Aware of the project – no concerns
- Aware of the project – project is good
- Aware of the project – good project
- Aware of the project – commerce, we need it
- Aware of the project – good idea
- Aware of the project – good idea

- Aware of the project – good idea
- Aware of the project – interested in SFPR
- Aware of the project – no concerns, supportive
- Aware of the project – interested in working at TSI
- Aware of the project – interested in AMS
- Aware of the project – good for economy/jobs
- Aware of the project – very supportive, no concerns
- Aware of the project – would like to have bike access around that area
- Aware of the project – so many issues, don't need to know more
- Aware of the project – no concerns
- Aware of the project – no concerns
- Aware of the project – doing a good job, concerned about trucks and trains
- Aware of the project – positive, we need trade
- Aware of the project – truck driving - staff are running red lights at shift change. Dangerous driving. Heavy container trucks need to have better driver awareness. Generally not supportive of the Port.
- Aware of the project – truck driving - staff are running red lights at shift change. Dangerous driving. Heavy container trucks need to have better driver awareness. Generally not supportive of the Port.
- Aware of the project – truck traffic – concerned about truck traffic and impact on tunnel, would like to see SFPR go ahead
- Aware of the project – truck traffic – concerned about truck traffic and impact on tunnel, would like to see SFPR go ahead
- Aware of the project – would like to know when public will be invited to open house again
- Aware of the project – supportive, employs people
- Aware of the project – concerned about the environmental impact
- Aware of the project – would like to see stricter regulations on trucks
- Aware of the project – truck traffic
- Aware of the project – supportive
- Aware of the project – interest in TFN project
- Aware of the project – trucks, positive
- Aware of the project – negative, not supportive
- Aware of the project – port should be doing more short sea shipping
- Aware of the project – concern over building of terminal 2. Concern over dendritic channel growth and stability of Roberts Bank as it is a very important wildlife area. Concern over change in habitat from mud/sand to eelgrass.
- Aware of the project – interested in SFPR

By number and percentage, the above comments fall into the following categories and themes:

- **41** (100%) individuals were aware of the project
- **16** (39%) individuals were supportive of the project
- **8** (20%) individuals were concerned about truck traffic
- **4** (10%) individuals were interested in the SFPR
- **3** (7%) individuals were concerned about the environment
- **3** (7%) individuals were not supportive of the project
- **2** (5%) individuals were concerned about infrastructure
- **1** (2%) individual was concerned about public access
- **1** (2%) individual suggested continuing with open houses
- **1** (2%) individual was interested in jobs at the Port

- **1** (2%) individual was interested in TFN
- **1** (2%) individual suggested there should be more short sea shipping
- **7** (17%) individuals had no concerns